IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CASE NO. 8:07CR193
Plaintiff,	}
vs.) TENTATIVE FINDINGS
BRADLEY PETERMANN,	\
Defendant.	}

The Court has received the Presentence Investigation Report ("PSR") in this case. The parties have not objected to the PSR. See Order on Sentencing Schedule, ¶ 6. The government adopted the PSR. (Filing No. 24.) The Court advises the parties that these Tentative Findings are issued with the understanding that, pursuant to *United States v. Booker*, 2005 WL 50108 (U.S. Jan. 12, 2005), the sentencing guidelines are advisory.

The plea agreement provides that the Defendant should be held responsible beyond a reasonable doubt for at least 5 but less than 20 grams of actual methamphetamine, resulting in the application of base offense level 26. However, the PSR reflects a drug quantity of at least 3 but less than 4 grams of actual methamphetamine, resulting in base offense level 22. The probation officer's detailed analysis of the drug quantity is found in ¶ 18 of the PSR. The government has adopted the facts and guideline calculations set out in the PSR. Therefore, the Court concludes that, absent unusual circumstances, the PSR will be adopted.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The parties are notified that my tentative findings are that the PSR is correct in all respects;

2. If **any** party wishes to challenge these tentative findings, the party shall immediately file in the court file and serve upon opposing counsel and the Court a motion challenging these tentative findings, supported by (a) such evidentiary materials as are required (giving due regard to the requirements of the local rules of practice respecting the submission of evidentiary materials), (b) a brief as to the law, and (c) if an evidentiary hearing is requested, a statement describing why an evidentiary hearing is necessary and an estimated length of time for the hearing;

3. Absent submission of the information required by paragraph 2 of this Order, my tentative findings may become final; and

4. Unless otherwise ordered, any motion challenging these tentative findings shall be resolved at sentencing.

DATED this 26th day of February, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp United States District Judge